January 28, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: <u>2002 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Energy West Mining Company, Trail</u>

Mountain Mine, C/015/009-WQ02-3

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [] NO [X] *Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known*:

T-14 (17-25-1): no report for July (operational);

T-15 (17-35-10): no report for September (flow only);

- T-18 (Oliphant Mine discharge): no report (operational) for September, no hard copy for back-up;
- SW-1: water-quality parameters were not reported for September (operational; flow was reported);
- SW-2: no report for July (flow only), and water-quality parameters were not reported for September (operational; flow was reported);
 - SW-3: no report for July (flow only), and no report for September (operational);
- T-19 (18-3-1): no report for July (flow only), and water-quality parameters were not reported for September (operational; flow was reported);
- TM-1B: water-quality parameters were not reported for September (operational; water-level was reported).

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resample See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling refive-year baseline resubmittal when responding to questive MRP does not have such a requirement.	equirements. C	Consider the	
Resampling Due Date			
Renewal submittal due 10/21/04, renewal due 02/21/05 performed in 1996, 2001 and will be repeated every 5 years, i.e. in 2006.		•	ll be
3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO[]	
4. Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO[]	
TM-22: Ca $(n = 10)$ was outside the two standard devia	ation range;		
5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?			
		YES [X] N	[O[]
	2 nd month,		10 []
Identify sites and months not monitored:	3 rd month,	YES[] N	10 [X]
There was no discharge from either UPDES point durin DMR report for September. The mine was sealed in June 2001 discharge at UPDES UT23728–002 since May 2001.			
6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO[]	
There was no discharge from either UPDES point durin DMR report for September.	ng July and Au	gust; there wa	as no
7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?	YES[]	NO [X]	

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Page 3 C/015/009-WQ02-3 January 28, 2003

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Energy West needs to provide the missing data or reports for T-14, T-15, T-18, SW-1, SW-2, SW-3, T-19, and TM-1B, and also the DMRs for September..

O:\015009.TMT\WATER QUALITY\JDS.WQ_02-3.DOC